

Exhibit J

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CASE NO. 1:23CV00878-TDS-JEP

DEMOCRACY NORTH CAROLINA;
NORTH CAROLINA BLACK ALLIANCE;
LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF
NORTH CAROLINA,

Plaintiffs,

V.

ALAN HIRSCH, in his official
capacity as CHAIR OF THE STATE
BOARD OF ELECTIONS; JEFF CARMON III,
in his official capacity as
SECRETARY OF THE STATE BOARD OF
ELECTIONS; STACY EGGERS IV, in his
official capacity as MEMBER OF THE
STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS; SIOBHAN
O'DUFFY MILLEN, in her official
capacity as MEMBER OF THE STATE
BOARD OF ELECTIONS; KAREN BRINSON
BELL, in her official capacity as
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE STATE
BOARD OF ELECTIONS; NORTH CAROLINA
STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

/

DEPOSITION
OF

KEVIN M. QUINN, Ph.D.

On Wednesday, April 2, 2025, commencing at
10:05 a.m., the deposition of KEVIN M. QUINN, Ph.D., was taken
on behalf of Defendants via Zoom Videoconference, pursuant to
Rules 26 and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
before Taura J. Vulcano, RPR, CRR for the State of Georgia.

A P P E A R A N C E S

(ALL APPEARANCE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE)

For the Plaintiffs:

SOUTHERN COALITION FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE

BY: HELENA ABBOTT, ESQ.

JEFF LOPERFIDO, ESQ.

CHRIS SHENTON, ESQ.

JAMES HUEY, ESQ.

AISWARYA MURALI, ESQ.

RACHEL ALLORE, ESQ.

SARAH KENNEY WERNER, LEGAL FELLOW

LILY A. TALERMAN, LEGAL FELLOW

5517 Durham-Chapel Hill Blvd.

Durham, North Carolina 27707

919.794.4213

helena@scsj.org

jeffloperfido@scsj.org

rachel@scsj.org

chrissshenton@scsj.org

aiswarya@scsj.org

lily@scsj.org

For the Defendants:

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP

BY: ALYSSA M. RIGGINS, ESQ.

KACIE ENGLAND, ESQ.

301 Hillsborough Street, Suite 1400

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

919.329.3810

alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com

kacie.england@nelsonmullins.com

For the State Board of Elections:

NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

TERENCE STEED, ESQ.

SPECIAL DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

919.716.6911

Tsteed@ncdoj.gov

1 report -- would be the number of North Carolina
2 voters who were verified on the second mailer. One
3 just small clarification. I believe you said what I
4 state is "second mail verification to register to
5 vote." The key thing, I think, is the "successfully
6 register to vote" part of it. A small thing but to
7 note, yeah.

8 Q. So here what you're saying, if I'm
9 understanding it, is that there was a 55 percent,
10 approximately, passage rate for those who became
11 verified on the second mailer, because 60 divided by
12 110,000 would be about 55 percent?

13 MS. ABBOTT: Objection.

14 Go ahead.

15 A. 60 divided by 110 is approximately
16 55 percent. Again, I could give you the exact
17 number, but that's -- I don't think anything hinges
18 on the exact number. I think the key thing for my
19 analysis is that but for the second mailer, those
20 60,000 people would not have been successfully
21 registered.

22 Q. Sure. So I'd like to look at paragraph 24
23 of your initial report for a minute.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. The first sentence you state, "Individuals